ESC Select Committee Inquiry Scope:

How are we preventing CSE and supporting young people in Buckinghamshire?

Title	Child Sexual Exploitation in Buckinghamshire		
Signed-off by	Val Letheren (ESC Select Committee Chairman)		
Author	Reece Bowman, Committee Adviser		
Date	Formal sign-off by ESC Select Committee on 5th June 2015		
Inquiry Group	Margaret Aston, Dev Dhillon, Phil Gomm, Val Letheren (Chairman),		
Membership	Wendy Mallen, Robin Stuchbury, David Watson.		
Member	Member Services will provide the following officer support:		
Services	Sara Turnbull, Head of Member Services – Policy advice and report		
Resource	quality assurance		
	Reece Bowman Committee Adviser – Policy lead & project management		
	Estimate of 2.5 days per week between May to December 2015.		
	Committee Assistant – Administrative support (as needed)		
Lead Cabinet	Lin Hazell, Cabinet Member for Children's Services		
Member			
Lead HQ/BU	Mr. David Johnston, Managing Director Children's Social Care and		
Officer	Learning		
What is the	Organised child sexual exploitation has been exposed in several local		
problem that is	authority areas in recent years. High profile reports on the subject have		
trying to be solved?	been published, including by the Children's Commissioner, Baroness Jay and Louise Casey's best value inspection of Rotherham MBC. The CLG		
Solveur	Committee has released its report on the role of Ofsted in relation to		
	Rotherham. As the issue is considered to be widespread, a review of		
	provision in Buckinghamshire would be of value to ensure that everything		
	that can be done is being done to prevent CSE in the county.		
Is the issue of	In May 2013 Operation Bullfinch, focussed on Oxford, resulted in the		
significance to	prosecution at the Old Bailey of seven men who were found guilty of		
Buckinghamsh	offences including rape, facilitating child prostitution and trafficking. The		
ire as a whole?	Serious Case Review arising from this was published by the Oxfordshire		
	Safeguarding Children Board in March 2015. The document provides a		
	valuable insight into the role of the agencies concerned, including Thames		
	Valley Police, which covers Buckinghamshire.		
Is the topic of	Children's Services in Buckinghamshire was recently assessed by Ofsted		
relevance to	as 'inadequate'. Improvement work is underway and the prevention of		
the work of BCC?	CSE is a critical aspect of this.		
BCC?	The role of the County Council is largely preventative – therefore the		
	review will be focussed on prevention as opposed to enforcement or		
	prosecution. Some time may be devoted to evaluating the County		
	Council's contribution to police investigations, although the investigations		
	themselves will be out of scope entirely.		
What will not	Specific enforcement action / operations being undertaken by the		
be	police		
considered?	Any aspect of the handling / processing of criminal investigations,		
	including the gathering of evidence and supporting victims and		
	witnesses.		

	The prosecution of cases, including the role played by the CPS,			
	HMCTS and victims services (including any victim support role			
	played by social care staff / other local authority staff)			
	Aftercare of victims by social care, following the court process			
	Any aspect of work with offenders			
Is this topic	The protection of children is a priority within the Select Committee's			
within the	remit.			
remit of the	The subject falls within the remit of the Cabinet Member for Children's			
Select	Services and the Cabinet Member for Education & Skills.			
Committee?				
What work is	The majority of work underway within Buckinghamshire is undertaken by			
underway	the well-established RUSafe? Service, which is commissioned from			
already on this	Barnardos by Buckinghamshire County Council. Individual agencies have			
issue?	their own approaches to the issue; part of the Thames Valley Police			
	response has been in supporting the development of Multi-Agency			
	Safeguarding Hubs across the force area (including in Bucks). The Bucks			
	Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) has a role in developing multi-			
	agency procedures and awareness-raising – CSE is one of its four			
	priorities; it also has a CSE Sub-Group. The Chief Executive of the			
	County Council is involved in frequent meetings with partners to address			
	the issue. The R U Wise 2 It? campaign aims to raise awareness of the issue in the county.			
	issue in the county.			
Are there any	The change in government, particularly if new duties are introduced. Any			
key changes	unexpected new case/s of CSE in Buckinghamshire that emerge during			
that might	the Inquiry would have a major impact on the issue and on the Inquiry			
impact on this	itself.			
issue?	itoen.			
locus !	Section 5 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 includes various requirements.			
What are the	CSE cases that are being brought to trial.			
key timing	Timing of partner and BSCB inquiries into the same subject.			
considerations	MTFP process of the County Council.			
	Publication of any Serious Case Reviews.			
	The general election.			
Who are the	Cabinet			
key	Children's Joint Executive Team			
stakeholders &	Safeguarding Children Board			
decision-				
makers?				
What might the	A stronger and more joined-up approach to the prevention of CSE within			
Inquiry	the county.			
Achieve?	The Inquiry aims to:			
	i. establish what factors make children and young people particularly			
	vulnerable to sexual exploitation within Buckinghamshire			
	ii. establish what factors facilitate the internal trafficking of children			
	and young people for the purpose of sexual exploitation within			
	Buckinghamshire			
	iii. establish levels of professional awareness of this issue			
1	iv. consider what interventions there are, which of these are currently			

	being utilised in Buckinghamshire and whether there are any gaps in provision v. identify any examples of good practice		
	vi. raise public awareness of this issue		
What	Press releases at the launch and close of the review. Guidance from the		
media/commu	communications team throughout the Inquiry on media handling as well		
nications	as directly to the chairman of the Inquiry.		
support do			
you want?			

Evidence-gathering Methodology

What types of methods of evidence-gathering will you use?

Desk based research, involving benchmarking of current practice in Bucks against the findings of recent Serious Case Reviews, national reports, statutory guidance (*Working Together to Safeguard Children*) and identified best practice elsewhere, etc. Will involve the evaluation of policies, plans, etc. Checking the implementation of practice through consultation with the relevant practitioners, e.g. Social Workers, C&F workers, etc. **Site visits to / input from**: schools / school governing bodies, sexual health services, RUSafe?, MASH, Swan Unit, Kingfisher Unit (Oxon), Social Work Units, visit a performance of Chelsea's Choice, YOS, Youth Service, taxi drivers, licensing, police areas, school nurses, paediatric nurses, safeguarding nurses, action for youth, GPs, GUM clinics, rape crisis, Young Addaction, Women's Aid, Blast Project, faith groups, IDVAs, ISVAs, foster carers, care home managers / workers, community safety teams, youth clubs, early help providers (Family Resilience, etc.).

How will you involve service-users and the public in this inquiry?

The engagement of faith groups will provide some public participation.

The engagement of children will provide an insight into the effectiveness of preventative activity in schools. Input from parents will complement this.

A decision would need to be made around whether it is appropriate to involve victims of CSE in the inquiry – advice will be sought on this.

Outline Inquiry Project Plan

Stage	Key Activity	Dates
Scoping / planning	Inquiry Scope and plan agreed by Select Committee	Initial sign- off by email
Evidence- gathering	Combination of Inquiry Group meetings and meetings of the ESC Select Committee to gather evidence. Conduct site visits.	May – September
	Final evidence gathering / report development session	September
Developing	Inquiry Group/SC meeting – Key Findings Report &	22 nd
Recommendations	Possible Areas of Recommendations considered	September
	Testing & developing recommendations with stakeholders	October
Reporting	Final Inquiry Group report with recommendations signed	3 rd
-	off by Select Committee / agreement to submit to decision makers	November
	Report published	November
	Decision makers consider recommendations	Nov/Dec